

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,685	02/27/2004	Byung Jin Choi	PA130/UTS-49-03d09	7013
7:	590 09/10/2004		EXAMINER	
Kenneth C. Brooks			YOUNG, CHRISTOPHER G	
Molecular Imprints, Inc. Legal Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 81536		1756 DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004		
Austin, TX 78	8708-1536			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			( )			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7,			
Office Action Summary	10/788,685	CHOI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUALO DA PER ANA	Christopher G. Young	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a length of the provided for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro the cause the application to become ABANDON.	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this con	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2-:	<u>27-2004</u> .					
l	his action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal matters, pl r <i>Ex part</i> e <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the i	merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure:  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/788,685

Art Unit: 1756

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the filed drawings contain handwritten reference numbers rendering them informal. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/788,685

Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

3. Claims 1-23 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-190 of copending Application No. 09/907,512, as shown in US 2002/0098426. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the other applications claims clearly show a method for controlling the relative position between a surface and a body as claimed. The other application goes into greater detail as to how the position is controlled and those claims are clearly within the scope of the broad method claimed in the instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Suzuki et al. patent is cited as setting forth the state of the art in this technology arena. A prior art rejection is not being issued over this reference in view of the priority date of the instant application.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher G. Young whose telephone number is 571-272-1394. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1756

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F. Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher G. Young Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756